

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,535	10/07/2004	Robert Vincent Krakora	Pu020105 2328		
7590 01/09/2008			EXAMINER		
Joseph S Tripoli Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc			BAIG, SAHAR A		
P O Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 0	8543-5312	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
11111000011, 113 0	0343 3312		2623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/510,53	5	KRAKORA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sahar A. B	aig	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no eve will apply and will , cause the appli g date of this com	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be tir I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become AB ANDONE in munication, even if timely filed	N. mely filed hthe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 October 2004</u> .							
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ acce drawing(s) b tion is require	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 10/07/2004.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6 6) Other:	Date				

10/510,535 Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shintani et al. (US Patent No. 6,490,001).

Regarding Claim 1, 7, and 14, Shintani discloses a method **Figure 4** for reducing channel change times, comprising: receiving a channel change command [S101]; caching an incoming data stream in response to the channel change command [Col. 6, lines 16-18]; finding program specific information included within the incoming data stream [S105]; and transferring the cached data stream for decoding in response to the finding of the program specific information [Col. 3, lines 18-25].

Regarding Claim 2, 8, and 15, Shintani discloses a method wherein the program specific information comprises program association table data and program map table data **Figure 1 unit 332**.

10/510,535 Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 3, 4, 9, 10, 16, and 17 Shintani discloses a method wherein the step of finding the program specific information includes filtering data from the cached data stream **Fig 2 unit 223** [The channel equalizer 223 functions mainly as a ghost canceller, and has a multi-tap digital filter].

Regarding Claim 5, 11, and 18, Shintani discloses a method comprised of finding sequence header data within the cached data stream **Figure 4 S103**.

Regarding Claim 6, 12, and 19, Shintani discloses a method wherein the step of finding the program specific information comprises **Figure 8**: finding program association table data within the incoming data stream **S306**; finding program map table data using the program association table data **S307**; and finding at least one of video program identification data and audio program identification data using the program map table data **[S308**, the control section 31 changes each of the switch circuits 41 and 42 to the input terminal DTV side].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10/510,535 Art Unit: 2623

4. Claim 13 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shintani.

Regarding Claim 13 and 20, Shintani discloses an apparatus for receiving a digital television broadcast such as a digital ground wave broadcast or a digital cable broadcast **Col. 1 lines 8-14.** Although Shintani fails to teach a set top box, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use one since they are well known and to replace the receiver would have been obvious to allow for a dedicated piece of hardware that will allow a cable provider the ability to provide secure communications with clients.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. It includes Blatter et al. (US Patent 5,838,873) and Candelore et al. (US Patent Publication No. 2003/0021412). Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sahar A. Baig whose telephone number is 571-270-3005. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/5/9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/510,535 Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SB

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600